## PETITION UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2254 FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS BY A PERSON IN STATE CUSTODY

United States District Court	District: W	estern District of Tennessee
Name (under which you were convicted):		Docket or Case No.:
Patrick Phillips		13-03536 AG#AN6247
Place of Confinement :		Prisoner No.: 13128462 (Aug. 2013-Oct. 2015)
Shelbu Countulail - 201 Poplar Avenue Memohis. T	N 38103	and 18120162 (Sept. 2018-present)
Petitioner (include the name under which you were convicted)	-	t (authorized person having custody of petitioner)
Patrick Phillips v.	State	of Tennessee
· ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
The Attorney General of the State of: ENNESSEE		
		F PH 2
PETIT	TION	PH 2
1. (a) Name and location of court that entered the judgme	ent of conviction	on you are challenging:
Shelby County Criminal Court, Division	9. 7th Flo	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$
Criminal Justice Complex	11 1 1	
Memohis Tennessee 38103		
(b) Criminal docket or case number (if you know):	13-03!	536 AG#AV 6247
2. (a) Date of the judgment of conviction (if you know):	October	18,2019
(b) Date of sentencing: November 30, 201		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
3. Length of sentence: TWENTU-SEVEN (27) UE	A	e Hundred percent (100%)
4. In this case, were you convicted on more than one cou		
5. Identify all crimes of which you were convicted and se	entènced in thi	s case:
Rape of a child-TCA 39-13-522 an	A Amerava	ted Sexual Battern TCA 39-13-504
Trapo or a similar for en la see similar	33	January 1 on or 10 or 1
	A	
6. (a) What was your plea? (Check one)		
(1) Not guilty	<b>(3)</b>	Nolo contendere (no contest)
(2) Guilty	<b>(4)</b>	Insanity plea

AO 241 (Rev. 09/17)

(c) If yo	u went to tr		nat kind of t	_	ou have	? (Chec	k one)			
Did you	testify at a	pretria	ıl hearing, tı	rial, or a	post-tria	ıl hearin	g?			
	Yes	o	No							
Did you	appeal fron	n the j	udgment of	conviction	on?					
	☐ Yes		No							
If you d	id appeal, ar	swer	the followir	ıg:				1		
(a) Nam	e of court:						N	A		 
(b) Doc	ket or case n	umbe	(if you kno	ow):			NA			
(c) Resu	lt:						- 1	MA		
(d) Date	of result (if	you k	now):				NA			 
(e) Citat	ion to the ca	se (if	you know):				N	A		 ,
(f) Grou	nds raised:						411	\		 
		_								 
(g) Did	you seek fur	ther n	eview by a	nigher st	ate cour	t?	O Yes		No	
	If yes, answ	ver the	following:							
			t:					MILE		

	(4) Date of result (if you know):  (5) Citation to the case (if you know):  (6) Grounds raised:	AVA AVA	
(h) Di	id you file a petition for certiorari in the United States S	Supreme Court?	No
	If yes, answer the following:	11/6	
	(1) Docket or case number (if you know):  (2) Result:	A/N A/N	
	(3) Date of result (if you know):	AVA	
	(4) Citation to the case (if you know):	AM	
Other	than the direct appeals listed above, have you previous	ly filed any other petitions, applications, or	motic
conce	rning this judgment of conviction in any state court?	☐ Yes 🐧 No	
If you	ar answer to Question 10 was "Yes," give the following	information:	
(a)	(1) Name of court:	AVA	
	(2) Docket or case number (if you know):	A/A	
	(3) Date of filing (if you know):	N/A	
	(4) Nature of the proceeding:	NA	
	(5) Grounds raised:	NA	
	(6) Did you receive a hearing where evidence was g	given on your petition, application, or motion	1?
	☐ Yes ☑ No	A   A	

AO 241 (Rev. 09/17)

(8) Date of result (if you know):	MI
(b) If you filed any second petition, application, or r	notion, give the same information:
(1) Name of court:	$\mathcal{A} \mid \mathcal{A}$
(2) Docket or case number (if you know):	NA
(3) Date of filing (if you know):	
(4) Nature of the proceeding:	N/A
(5) Grounds raised:	N/A
	,
4	
1	nce was given on your petition, application, or motion?
☐ Yes No	A / / A
(7) Result:	N/A
(8) Date of result (if you know):	AV/A
c) If you filed any third petition, application, or mo	tion, give the same information:
(1) Name of court:	)V) [\(\frac{1}{2}\)
(2) Docket or case number (if you know):	
(3) Date of filing (if you know):	
(4) Nature of the proceeding:	
(5) Grounds raised:	A\//A

	(6) Did you	receive a hearing	g where evide	ence was given on you	r petition, application,	or motion?
	☐ Yes	No No			>1/A	
	(7) Result:	02.98			AM	
	(8) Date of 1	result (if you kno	ow):		AVA	
	(d) Did you appeal to	the highest state	e court having	g jurisdiction over the	action taken on your p	etition, application,
	or motion?		1			
	(1) First per	tition: 🗖 Y	res 🐧	No		
	(2) Second	petition: 🗇 Y	res 💆	No		
	(3) Third po	etition: 🛛 Y	res 💆	No		
	As of today's date Never been cont For this petition, state laws, or treaties of the	acted by this e every ground of United States.	ever Met the Attorney for which you a	naving jurisdiction, expending My dipedical in that you are being in a separate of the submitted in a submitted in a separate of the submitted in a submitted in	and, 3) I have no ag held in violation of a more than four ground	y Counce (2) I have representation. the Constitution,
	state-court remedies	s on each groun s in this petition	d on which y n, you may be	must ordinarily firs ou request action by barred from presen AW (NOTE: 1	the federal court. Alting additional groun	lso, if you fail to set nds at a later date.
(a) Suppo	orting facts (Do not a	rgue or cite law.	Just state the	specific facts that sup	port your claim.):	s is A
The Bar	Hett Police Depart	ment lennes	see Depar	tment of Children	is Dervices, and D	Shelby County
<b>Distric</b>	Attorney Genera	ll's Office, joir	itly and co	lectively, fabricat	edatalse portra	hual of Me being a
ugitive	Whose whereab	outs Were <b>u</b>	NKHOWN &	nd whose contact	intormation was	invalid. Due to
his de	<u>liberate and wa</u>	Nton Miscon	duct by gov	vernment agencies	s, the prosecution	against Me ch 1,2013 and ose of intentionally ix(b) years(2013-2019)
ommen	iced by way of a	Grandwy	oranting a	a Malicious reque	<u>st (made on Mar</u>	ch 1,2013 and
ranted	ON August 2,201	3) to issue a	N Out-of-	Custody Indict <u>m</u>	ent for the purp	vose of intentionally
(b) If you	did not exhaust your	r state remedies	on Ground O	ne, explain why:	<u>ver the course of s</u>	<u>ix(6) years(2013-2019)</u> ,
have s	submitted <b>Numero</b>	us complaints	regarding.	this and other grou	unds, to the trial co-	urt, Board of Protess-
ongl kee	pousibility, board	of cludicial Con	duct Tennes	see buredu of Inves	stigations, United S	urt, Board of Profess- tates Department of elving remedies for
ustice,	and other entities	s that have b	œn unable	and or unwilling to	assist Me in recu	elving remedies for
nic And	1 State Matters	have togetal	4 the Atore	Mentioned entitle	s at Methods Hacti	ics archestrated to
lelibera	ttely sa botage rev	ledies (pre-tria	<u>landafter).</u>	It has been over s	ixty(100) days and t	the Appellate Lounsel
fpointed	lto My case has sl	DWN NO Sigh	teven into	ducing herself. ted	ral intervention is 1	the hypellate Counsel My only true remedy.

	continuation of: GROUND ONE (a)	page No.1
	denying/automatically waiving My right to having a Preliminary Hearing — (without My voluntary consent). In reality, an overwhelming amount of	
	evidence, directly available to the State or already within its direct	
	anssession irretutably proves that the government has almaus been	
	fully aware of My exact whereabouts and, likewise, opportunities	and the second s
	presenting a number of precise instances to make contact with me (physical, electronic, and/or telecommunications) throughout the entire duration	1
-	of the false investigation it claims to have conducted. This evidence includes:	
	(1) Knowledge of Mu attendance and presence inside a Bartlett Police Depart-	
	Ment facility during its own application and pre-employment testing	
	process for Police Dispatcher, which was initiated scheduled and confirme	
2.00	via an active Belhaven University student email address account	permission to a service, and a service of the servi
	(patrickphillips@students.belhaven.edu) (2) Knowledge of My place of higher learning leducational institution (Belhaven	
and a	University-Memphis), where I maintained full-time honors student status	
	with Mu Camous and classroom presence contirmed by WIS John and	
	Summer Semester Campus server logins and attendance records.	
	(3) Knowledge of my place of employment (America's Incredible Pizza Company-	
	Cordonal, where I reported for duty using a biometric scan of mutinger- print and maintained a 35-40(+) hour work week until changing employers	and the second s
	in April of 2013 (More than one (1) Month after the Bartlett Police Depart	_
	Ment is documented in official government reports records as claiming	
	a) inability to locate ander contact Me	
	b) listing Me as being at large in a request for a Grandwry to issue	
	an Out-of-Custody Indictment (a request made on March 1, 2013) C.) issuance of an All Points Bulletin for me (done on or before March 8,	
	2013 effectively concluding its investigation	
	2013; effectively concluding its investigation.)  (4) A City of Bartlett Traffic Citation (APR No. 13-00001393, MINI#13-0008309	
	Incident# 1303210027) and Municipal Court of the City of Bartlett, Tennessee	<u> </u>
	Incident # 1303210027) and Municipal Court of the City of Bartlett, Tennessee Traffic Court records (Docket # 13-5869), which confirm My presence, signature and promise to abide by the rules Mandated by the Traffic Court regarding	it,
	and promise to abide by the rules Mandated by the Irattic Court regarding	

My appearances before the Court and getting My driver's license reinstated within the time granted by the Court. NOTE: The City of Bartlett Traffic Citation was issued on March 21, 2013 at 10:52 pm and the Bartlett Police Department Arrest Report confirms my correct address, social security number, and phone number (three (3) weeks after I was listed as being 'at large' in a request for an Out-of-Custody Indictment and had an 'All Point's Bulletin' issued for Me). Yet, I was not arrested, taken into Custody, or brought in for questioning interview regarding the talse "investigation" (that Never took place) into the false allegations made against Me. Moreover, I appeared in the Municipal Court of the City of Bartlett, Tennessee on April 24, 2013 (thirty-four 134) days after receiving the Traffic Citation) and was approached by the investigating detective (Rebeka Ander-Gon), who immediately advised me that I was not under arrest and that she wanted to ask me some questions about a case that she was investigating. gating. However, when I requested to have an attorney present, prior to ding questioning, the detective in charge responsible tor:

a) listing me as a fugitive at large out of Custody and,

C) issuing an 'All Roints Bulletin' for me,

after having afficially claimed to have searched for me and called a phone
Number that was no longer valid, told me I was 'free to go.' This is, now,
fifty-four (54) days after her request was made to a Grand Jury.

(5) Juvenile Court of Memphis and Shelby County, Tennessee records (Docket
No. Z0858), which confirm my presence and accountability througout the
entire series of proceedings (from March 28, 2013 until May 8, 2013) on
a petition for a Motion to Suspend Visitation; initiated by the State's very
own act of directly contacting My son's Mother and advising her to travel
from Cantonment, Florida to Memphis, Tennessee, specifically and for
the sole purpose of filing the petition in Juvenile Court. Please be advised:
the Juvenile Court has an official record of my address/place of residence at
the Jeographic of these proceedings and my legal chance of address/place of the **beginning** of these proceedings and my legal change of address place of

residence during the proceedings. This petition was filed on March 21,2013, during the Morning and afternoon hours of the same day I was issued the City of Bartlett Traffic Citation and a Certificate of Service gives unequivocal continuation that the NOTICE OF HEARING was sent, via United States Postal Service, to the exact same address documented in the Bartlett Police Department's Arrest Report for the traffic citation. I received the Notice at My place of residence and responded by physically appearing before Shelby County Juvenile Court Judge, David Ferguson on March 28,2013 (one () week later). At this Hearing, My son's Mother addressed the Court and stated that she had been contacted by a government official, who advised:

a) I had been indicted for sexual abuse of a child I was an **immediate threat** to our son c) I was a fugitive vagabond with no place to live d) She should, without delay, file a petition in Juvenile Court to suspend My upcoming visitation with our son (six(6) Months parenting time) and to request full custody; terminating the Non-Custodial Parental Rights and Modified Custody Petition that I fought for eighteen (18) months to have granted, by way of proving Muself to be the More responsible parent to our son (Docket No. W4847) As a result of the allegations of My son's Mother, My right to have visitation with My son was suspended for one () week, pending further orders of the Court. On April 4, 2013, I appeared before the Juvenile Court and Judge Ferguson, opposite an attorney for the Tennessee Department of Children's Services (Barbara Deans), and upon proof introduced in the entire record, the Court ordered that the Motion to Suspend Visitation (filed by My son's Mother, on March 21, 2013, as advised to her by a government of the court ordered that the Motion to Suspend Visitation (filed by My son's Mother, on March 21, 2013, as advised to her by a government of the court ordered that the Motion to Suspend Visitation (filed by My son's Mother, on March 21, 2013, as advised to her by a government of the court ment official) be denied. On April 25, 2013, Juvenile Court Judge Curtis Person issued a Court Order Appointing Guardian Ad Litem in Dependency and Neglect or Termination of Parental Rights and Allowing

Discovery to Johnna Duke, Attorney at Law; ordering Ms. Duke to conduct an intensive investigation into the Matters alleged in the Dependency

and Neglect claims) Made by My son's Mother. During her investigation, Ms. Duke: a) contacted me, via phone (which was the exact same phone number documented in the Bartlett Police Department's Arrest Report for the Traffic Citation as well as the phone number on record in my Juvenile Court Case File) to interview me and advise me of her investigation. During this interview, I advised Ms. Duke that the alleged "victims" Mother had been contacting me (via phone text, social Media, and unexpected visits to my Newly changed place of residence, then spared the contact into, b.) continued verified my legally changed place of residence and the suitable environment living conditions as related to the welfare and Well-being of My son

C.) Contacted and interviewed the alleged "victim's" Mother, who advised Ms. Duke that she had not spoken to My son's Mother nor did she know of any Means by which she would be able to contact My son's Mother (this was an absolute and intentional falsehood) d) contacted and interviewed My son's Mother, who advised Ms. Duke that she had been in frequent contact communication with the alleged victim's mother, who initiated the vast majority of their frequent communication. This contact took place phone, text, and social Media; very likely continuing as of today e) contacted the Bartlett Police Department and was advised by the investigating detective that she was still in the process of conducting an "investigation" and that charges against me "may or May Not be filed; dependent upon the outcome of the "investigate" ion". The detective Made No Mention of having listed me as being a fugitive, requesting an Out-of-Custody Indictment, issuing an All Points Bulletin for me, having a need to interview me, or having actually Made contact with me on April 24, 2013 in her conversation with Ms. Duke

On May 8, 2013. Lappeared before the Juvenile Court and Judge terguson, opposite Ms. Duke, who advised the Court of her investigation's tindings.

including the statements given by all persons she interviewed. NONE of these persons appeared before the Court, during this government instigated before the Court, during this government instigated before the Court, during this government instigated before the Court, and Neglect Hearing, in support of ANY of the ownerwhelmingly false allegations made against me. Yet, secretly, a deliberate and wanton conspirately to deny my right to Due Process of Law was continued by the government. Ms. Duke Made it irrefutably clear to the Court that, based on the evidence obtained in her investigation, the allegations against me were unsupported and Malicious in Nature. Moreover, Ms. Duke expressed (at length) her suspicion of there being evidence suggestive of the two(2) momen Imposely cause me harm; as My son's mother is reported as having openly wished death on me after the Court awarded me custody. Judge terguson, on the Court record, agreed with Ms. Duke and dismissed the petition against me.

On August 2,2013, a Grand Jury issued a true bill Out-of-Custody Indictment and on August 5,2013 Officer's from the Shelby County Sheriff's Office Fugitive Narrants unit alrested me at my place of residence; obviously making use of resources available to law enforcement, including the Bartlett Police Department in locating Me. On August 8,2013, I appeared before Judge James C. Beasley in Shelby County Criminal Court - Division 10, for Arraignment.

Despite having Knowledge of ALL FACTS outlined in this GROUND, Assistant District Attorney General, Terre Fratesi proceeds to advise Judge Beasley and the Criminal Court that I had been a fugitive from justice, unable to be contacted or located (even by the alleged "victim's" mother); intentionally deceiving the Court and becoming the first of ALL attorneys involved in my case (prosecution and defense) to deliberately Neglect the duty of candor to the tribunal. No remedy has ever been provided for the Unlantul, unjust, and unconstitutional denial of my right to have a Preliminary Hearing in General Sessions Court, even though I have submitted numerous complaints, over the previous six (6) years, regarding this grievous violation of Due Rocess.

all land

L	Direct Appeal of Ground One:					
(	1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue?		)	Yes		No
(	2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why:	316	He	wer Me	t Mul	ourt appointed
1	Appellate Counsel, any Appellate Counsel would/will be strictly limited to the	213	SUK	es with	in tri	al counsel's
1	Motion for New Trial, which corroborates a sixth Amendment violation of a pu					
st-C	Conviction Proceedings:					
(	1) Did you raise this issue through a post-conviction motion or petition for habeas c	orpu	s i	n a state	e trial o	court?
	☐ Yes No					
(2	2) If your answer to Question (d)(1) is "Yes," state:	,				
T	ype of motion or petition:	W	A			
N	ame and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed:	N	1/4	\		
_ D	ocket or case number (if you know):	VA				
D	ate of the court's decision:	A				
R	esult (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):	À				
(3	Did you receive a hearing on your motion or petition?		]	Yes	Ŋ	No
(4	) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion or petition?		3	Yes	Ø	No
(5	f) If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," did you raise this issue in the appeal?		)	Yes	Ø	No
(6	) If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," state:		. t.			
N	ame and location of the court where the appeal was filed:	<u> </u>	1	4		
D	ocket or case number (if you know):	N	\			
D	ate of the court's decision:	IA		a		
R	esult (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):	AI	ı			
- (7	) If your answer to Question (d)(4) or Question (d)(5) is "No," explain why you did	d not	t ra	nise this	issue:	
	N/A					

	Docket or case number (i	f you know):			AVA	
		- San Mary My MIO			11/11	
	Type of motion or petition  Name and location of the		tion or petition was	filed:	N/A	
	(2) If your answer to Que		state:		N/n	
			" atata			
	(1) Did you raise this issu	ie through a post-coi	aviction motion or p	eution for nabeas c	orpus in a state trial coul	11.
(d)		· ·	eviation matics	atition for hobocs -	ornus in a stata trial sour	rt?
(4)	COUNSEL INCluded in a Post-Conviction Proceed		ENT PLOTED TOP IN	on Itial, l'idiculed	Dythe Francourto	n record.
	Court appointed Approached in a	Lilate Attorney, wh	o will be stactly	IMITED to the to	ivolous issues my tr	idl hossal
	(2) If you did <u>not</u> raise th			TO OF FOUND	s date, I have not M	et My_
	(1) If you appealed from			A D. I.	Yes N	0
(c)	Direct Appeal of Groun		Ş	O	,	9
to Meth	nodicálly sábotáge án	<u>iy applicable ren</u>	<u>redy. Federal int</u>	ercession is Myo	only hope of any true	remedy.
	ed response). The prima					
within t	nis Ground from the C	riminal Court venu	es to the board o	11 1 10 1	/   \	
	u did not exhaust your star	V)		T 1	atedly addressed the	
	Sly replaced by election					
	eu and the sixth tria					
- 4 - 17	he first <b>five(5)</b> trial			(1)		1
	Court Division/Courtry			1 1 1 1	1 /	5 A
Λ.	3) <b>separate</b> detense    six(b)separate tim	1 (1)	3 1	11 . 40	1 1 00	
	September 10,2018), 1	. 1	4 4	1.6 18 1		W 1 4
	tive(5) years between	9 1	1 1 1		11	,
T 1	orting facts (Do not argue	1		, , , ,	\ 1 1/1	+1
	tor obtaining witnesses	•				tional page
	A I	A .	44	11 .1	y an impartial jury c	* * * *
	, deliberately withheld			1 . 11		1
ional hes	ponsibility, board of Jud	icial Conduct, IBI	DW etc. to exam	ine the Multitude	of Material and tavor	able
used to e	exhaust your state remedie	s on Ground One:	I have painstakin	gly implored to the	e Trial Courts, board of I	rotess-
(e) Othe	r Remedies: Describe any	other procedures (s	uch as habeas corpu	is, administrative re	emedies, etc.) that you ha	ive

prior to the September of 2018 trial (according to trial counsel, Ralph Tolve Gibson).

Judge Mitchell abruptly refused to try My case and had it Moved to Shelby

Gounty Criminal Court Division 9 to be tried by Judge W. Mark Ward. By way of

in-house-mail (from the jail to Criminal Court Division 10). I sought confirmation

and validation of Mr. Gibson's claim regarding Judge Mitchell's spur of the Moment

refusal. However, I have gotten no response from Criminal Court Division 10 or Judge Mitchell since My in-house-Mail was sent on April 25, 2019.

With regard to a speedy trial, it is of extreme significance to note that the 2018 prosecuting attorneys (at mytrial) falsely advised the trial court, trial jury and there after, the sentencing court that I (the Detendant) was responsible for the nearly six (b) year delay in the alleged victim having justice and her day in court." However, and in with held truth, My case was scheduled for trial in: (1) November of 2014, (2) November of 2015, (3) September of 2016, (4) April of 2017, (5) October of 2017, and (6) September of 2018; the only continuance requested by me (the Defendant) being in the midst of the Second scheduled trial [November of 2015], which was granted on the day after jury selection. Moreover, this continuance was granted to it being openly revealed to the trial court that My court appointed trial counsel. (Samuel Perkins - BPR#11857) had been deliberately deceitful and untruthful (to Myself and the trial court) about having subpoended a Number of witnesses; in fact, having subpoended NO ONE and planning on conducting My detense with absolutely NO witnesses for My detense AT ALL. Mr. Perkins also displayed attempts of the court and unconference and behavior during the incompared and planning on the conducting my detense. extremely reckless, harmful, and unprofessional behavior during the jury selection process by discussing facts of the case in front of potential jurous and openly asking to "borrow the State's lower loint presentation to explain his "detence theory strategy"; showing a complete lack of being prepared for trial. Mr. Perkins, thereafter, petitioned the prosecutor (NOT the trial court) for "emergency funds" to get a witness (who was Material yet, never suppoended) prought from California to Memphis (over Night). As a result of this inefutable display of Ineffective Counsel, Judge beasley removed Mr. Perkins from My case cancelled the November of 2015 trial and granted My

request for a continuance; My only request for a continuance, ever. ALL other continuances (including the very first scheduled cancelled trial date of November 17, 2014) were requested by and/or granted to the government. Therefore, by falsely advising the September of 2018 trial jury that I (the Defendant) was the party responsible for the nearly six(b) year delay in bringing the case to trial, while deliberately withholding the truth of the government (itself) being chiefly responsible for the inexplicable and unjustified trial cancellations (especially the very first) and the extreme delays that tollowed, the State intentionally deceived the trial court and jury; purposely creating an undue prejudice against my defense. The significance of the request for a continuance that I was granted on November 10, 2015 is (i) the State had already cancelled the November 17, 2014 trial after subposenced government witnesses submitted their official records reports on October 24, 2014, which contain overwhelming evidence that is Material and tavorable to my detense and (2) ON November 10, 2015 (the day after jury selection in my second trial date), the trial court and trial jury had both witnessed the overwhelming proof of the violation of my Sixth Amendment quarantee of having effective assistance of counsel and the trier of fact (himself) cancelled the trial and removed Mr. Perkins as trial counsel due to the fact I would Not have received a fair trial continuing with him as counsel.

Please be advised: At No point during the following four (4) continuances did I voluntarily waive right to having a speedy trial by consenting to the continuances requested by andlor granted to the government. Upon the advice of My privately retained counsel (Ralph Tolve Gibson). I was told to concentrate on Maintaining My academic status as a star honors student at My University; placing blind faith in a privately hired attorney who ultimately, proved himself to be as ineffective and unprepared for ANY of the possible four (4) trial dates as Mr. lerkins had been, by Making No objection to speedy trial violations (pretrial) or to the States false claim of Me (the Defendant) causing the extreme delay during and after

witnesses already subpoended (5) given the State information Not subject to disclosure; opened the door to evidence Not subject to disclosure during trial, then claimed the trial court erred in allowing the State's improper actions regarding the evidence (Not subject to disclosure) in which defense counsel opened the door (6) Sabotaged all pretrial remedies and chances for appeal by concealling the Wanton denial of My Sixth Amendment quarantee of effective counsel and altempting to conceal the clear, convincing, and deliberate conspiracy to contribute to the State's interests throughout all proceedings; intentionally engineering a verdict of guilt, denial of Motion for New Trial, and denial of any appeal in the future

Each of these defense attorneys are irrefutably responsible for the denial of my right to compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in My favor. Such witnesses include (but are not limited to): (i) Patrick Cici-Bartett Blice Department Assigning Administrative Officer on case

(vanuary 10,2013) who could identify officer(s) who wrote statements in original

police report (Report #130109-0015), since Detective Anderson claimed (under

oath, at trial) to Not Know the identity of officer Making the original police report

on January 9,2013. NOTE: There is No Affidavit of Complaint in case file 12) Jessica Laubacker-Bartlett Police Officer who issued traffic citation on March 21, 2013 and was present at My appearance in traffic court on April 24, 2013. This officer's report (Report #13-00001393, Incident #130321,0027) confirms My exact contact and personal information (Matching the records of the Shelby County Juvenile Court). This witness confirms the fact that I was Not a fugitive and there was no All Points Bulletin for me at the time of her traffic stop. Moreover, it is clear that Detective Anderson Never

of Bartlett Municipal Court.

(3) Brandon Thorton - Unidentified law enforcement officer on the State's original list of its witnesses, who was removed from the list of State's

communicated any investigation in progress to this officer or the City

witnesses after the first trial (November 17,2014) was cancelled by the State. To have been on this original list of State's witnesses, this officer had to have material Knowledge/testimony regarding my case. Considering the other witnesses who were removed from this list, it is very likely the Material Knowledge testimony was favorable to My defense. (4) Court Clerk, City of Bartlett Municipal Court-This unidentified person was subpoended by Clifford T. Abeles (witnessed by Kevin P. Key, Criminal Court Clerk) on the "third Monday in May of 2014, to appear before the Judge of Criminal Court Division 10 (Judge James C. Beasley) for a pretrial Hearing scheduled on July 31, 2014 (the same day as the State's Motion on the Admissibility of the Forensic Interview and My Motion for a Bill of Particulars). The subpoena advised the City of Bartlett Municipal Court Clerk to bring a copy of all records related to (My Name and date of birth) and testify to the truth of such records. This Court Clerk did Not appear before the Criminal Court for the July 31,2014 pre trial Hearing and it was only after Mr. Albeles was removed from My case that I discovered the existence of the subpoena duces tecum, the official records Mr. Abels obtained regarding the traffic citation traffic court, and the fact that he (Mr. Abeles) personally elected to have this witness disregard appearing to testify to the truth concerning all records related to me (records that were subpoended, received, and withheld from me) on July 25, 2014 six(6) days prior to the pre trial Hearing on the records that he (Mr. Abeles) had secretly subpoemed. At the absolute very least, the testimony of this witness would have given unequivocal confirmation of the government's wanton false portrayal of me being a fugitive; resulting in the denial of My right to having a Preliminary Hearing
(5) Kandis Saulsberry-The Tennessee Department of Unildren's Services
Child Protective Services Investigator (on the State's original list of witnesses) who falsely claimed I was unable to be contacted, falsified a government document (stating the alleged "victim" had contracted gonorrhea; presumably from Me), and refused to release her report

or the Memphis Child Advocacy Center's records reports, as reasonably requested by the University of Mississippi Children's Justice Center Forensic Examiner and staff (while simultaneously requesting the records reports of the out-of-state/out-of-jurisdiction Forensic Examination being conducted to the State's full awareness, according to the withheld report of the Forensic Examiner (herself)). Ms. Saulsberry's documented acts of malice are clear and convincing acts official Misconduct by the government's very own investigators. After the State cancelled my first trial, it also removed Ms. Saulsberry from its list of witnesses.

(6) Barbara Deans-Attorney for the Tennessee Department of Children's Services who was present at My second appearance in Shelby County Juvenile Court on April 4, 2013, after the State instigated the filing of a petition to suspend and or terminate my parental custody rights to my son. This appearance was after My visitation with My son had been suspended for exactly one () week following the first appearance on March 28, 2013; while the court gave further orders. During the April 4,2013 proceeding, Ms. Dealys made absolutely no Mention of interaction and or correspondence with the State (who had a ready instructed law enforcement to prosecute by way of indictment on February 28,2013), Detective Anderson (who had a ready instructed law enforcement to prosecute by way of indictment on February 28,2013), Detective Anderson (who had a ready claimed I was a fugitive and requested an out-of-custody indictment on March 1,2013) or Ms. Saulsberry (who is an investigator in the Tennessee Department of Children's Services; the same agency as Ms. Deans, herself). Again, my repeated appearances before all courts (as instructed) is clear proof that I was not a fugitive and that the governments investigation was a complete sham fraud, intended to ouroosely decrive and device and device and deviced to purposely deprive and deny me rights as prescribed by the Constitution. The Court (Judge David Ferguson) denied the petition to suspend and/or terminate My parental rights (in the presence of Ms. Deans), then took even turther action, regarding a Dependency and Neglect Hearing scheduled for May 8,2013. Ms. Deans is an extremely Material witness regarding the Malicious and false allegations put

continuation of: GROUNDTWO (a)
before the Juvenile Court against me, but were completely unsupported by
any government agency or "official" who had an elaborate role in initiating
these specific Juvenile Court proceedings; calling me a threat to my son and page No.7 of 27 other children

(1) Carly J. Meredith, CFNP- The first Medical professional to conduct an examination on the alleged victim. This examination took place at the Greenwood LeFlore Children's Clinic in Greenwood, Mississippi (an out-of-state) out-of-jurisdiction facility on January 22, 2013 (thirteen (13) days after reporting the alleged sexual assault to police in Bartlett, lennessee). Ms. Meredith Made a talse report of the alleged victim having sustained a very specific injury (a broken/not intact hymen) which was proven false ten(10) days later during another facility's More thorough Forensic Examination. Ms. Meredith also released laboratory results that clearly and irretutably disproved Ms. Sausberry's report, which officially states, gonorrhea was recovered from the genital culture. The testimony of Ms. Meredith regarding these issues is absolutely critical to what Tennessee classities as being a felony crime Absolutely critical to what Tennessee classities as being a telony crime (a talse report of injury), which have been clearly documented in official reports/government reports Made by Ms. Meredital netective Services Investigator Randis Saulsbergy. NOTE: It is solely because of Ms. Meredithis examination and the laws of the state of Mississippi that the University of Mississippi Unilorenis Justice Center became the facility responsible for conducting the Forensic Examination of the alleged "victim" in My case. No Medical forensic examination has ever been conducted in Tennessee regarding my case and the laws of Tennessee. Therefore, it is equally critical to examine why the government of Shelby County, Tennessee approved authorized the first Medical examination of the alleged "victim" to be conducted at an out-of-state/out-of-jurisdiction facility (thirteen (13) days after a report Made to police in Bartlett, Tennessee): a facility subject to the laws of a completely different state (Mississippi) while being completely exempt from the criminal penalties of the laws of Tennessee. regarding Making documented talse reports of injury. documented talse reports of injury.

(8) Carrie Regan Doleac, FPN-The University of Mississippi Children's Justice Center Forensic Examiner (on the State's original list of witnesses) who was subpoenced by Assistant District Attorney General, Terre Fratesi (on October, 15, 2014) to bring copies of all documents, reports, photographs, and audio/video recordings done in conjunction with the alleged "victim's examination and to testify as to the truth of such evidence from November 18-20, 2014 (the week of my first Scheduled trial). Ms. Doleac responded promptly and accordingly by forwarding the subpoended evidence (via Health Port Health Information Dutscurcing Services-P.O. Box 409822 Atlanta Georgia) to Terre Fratesi (Customer #1856650) who paid for and received this evidence on October 24, 2014 (Invoice #0156882268). This was approximately twenty-four (24) days before the first scheduled trial. Of protound and extreme Significance, Ms. Doleac's records and reports affirm the following: a) the alleged victims "hymen was intact with a posterior rim, annular in continuation; Not "broken or "Not intact" as falsely documented in the initial medical examination at the Greenwood LeFlore Children's Clinic b) the Tennessee Department of Children's Services Child Platective Services Department of Unildren's Services Unild Notective Services

Investigator (Kandis Saulsberry) refused to comply with the Unildren's

Justice Center's request for a copy of the alleged "victim's" case filed

forensic interview, while requesting a copy of the Unildren's Justice

Center Medical reports; concealling her (Ms. Saulsberry's) false report

of the alleged "victim" having contracted gonor hea (February 1, 2013)

C) the Investigating Detective (Rebeka Anderson) on at least two(2)

occasions (January 25, 2013 and February 3, 2013) tried to dissuade

Ms. Doleac regarding her (Ms. Doleac's) duty to conduct the forensic

examination. Ms. Doleac reports that Detective Anderson claimed to be

confused as to why the alleged "victim" was being sent to the University

of Mississippi Children's Justice Center for a forensic examination,

since the investigation was in Memohis (although no agency in leavessee since the investigation was in Memphis Calthough no agency in lennessee arranged any Medical examinations to be conducted at any lot a large Number ) Medical facility immediately available within Shelby County;

In particular, Le Bonheur Children's Hospital, which is in the immediate proximity of the Memphis Child Advocacy Center (where the State conducted the Forensic Interview on January 25, 2013). Ms. Doleac further reports that during this 1/25/13 phone call (shortly after the Forensic Interview), Detective Anderson stated that the District Attorney General's office and the Memphis Child Advocacy were already aware of the Forensic Examination scheduled at the Children's Justice Center in Jackson, Mississippi on February 1,2013. Thus, the government was also an lare of the initial Medical examination at the Greenwood LeFlore Unidoen's Clinic on January 22, 2013 (three (3) days prior to the Forensic Interview at the Memphis Child Advocacy Center? This fact is corroborated by Kandis Saulsberry's TDCS case recording Summary entry on January 22,2013. Therefore, from 1/9/13-1/22/13, the State of Tennessee including Detective Rebeka Anderson) deliberately avoided arranging the required Medical services to be conducted within the jurisdiction of Tennessee, while approving a thro(2) week delay for the alleged "victim" to be examined out of state by at a facility that gave a report of an injury that was proven talse by this witness (Ms. Doleac) ten(10) days later. In a separate phone call, on February 3,2013, Ms. Doleac reports that Detective Anderson, "again," stated that she did not want her (Ms. Doleac) to conduct the Forensic Examination. Specifically since the case was being investigated in Memphis. Being clearly aware and disturbed by the fact that Ms. Doleac's examination would document results disproving the results of Ms. Meredith's examination (ten (10) days prior). Ms. Doleac documents Detective Anderson's Next attempt to stop the Forensic Examination as having stated, I don't want her further traumatized. I need her ready tor court" in reference to the alleged "victim." Ms. Doleac reports that Detective Anderson claims to have spoken with DHS in Mississippi and having them advised that Child Protective Services in Memphis." was the organization responsible for the case, not DHS in Mississippi.

NOTE: If there exists/existed any audio files of any of the aforementioned phone conversations, initiated by Detective Anderson, such audio files have been withheld by the State of Tennessee, who subprended such files on October 15, 2014

d) the alleged "victim" was evaluated for "bed wetting issues. Despite both parents advising Bartlett Police (in the initial interview/police report) that the alleged "victim" had a history of bed wetting (long before ever knowing me), Detective Anderson falsely reports that the alleged "victim" "started wetting the bed since the incident in a Tennessee Department of Children's Services Child Protective Services I ntake Summary on January 24, 2013 (two(2)days after the initial Medical exam in Greenwood,
Mississippi and one(1)day before the Forensic Interview at the Memphis
United Advocacy Center). Immediately following this false report/statement.
Detective Anderson reports that it was "unknown" if the alleged "victim" had any disabilities or delays. However, the Forensic Examiner (Ms. Doleac) very clearly stated, bedwetting is an issue that can be both psysiologic and or psychologic and that at the alleged "victims" age, "could be a symptom of a More complicated medical problem, such as diabetes or renal dystunction. It is of material significance to Note that Ms. Doleac reports that the alleged victim has a paternal family history that is Positive for Diabetes. Moreover, during the Forensic Examination, a Medical doctor (Nancy Grant Wahl, MD) evaluated the alleged "victim" for a two (2) Month history of bed wetting by performing a urinallysis, which revealed "elevated glucose in the urine." This medical doctor further states, the bed wetting was originally attributed to the abuse (alleged) but now diabetes is being considered. Therefore, in evaluating the alleged "victim" for a two (2) month period of bed wetting (when both parents have already confirmed the issue had been ongoing for years it is revealed actually has a condition that is hereditary to her father. It is also noteworthy that Ms. Doleac that Ms. Doleac reports that the alleged "victim" has a Maternal family history that is positive for

Conversations).

(10) Nancy Grant Mahl, MD-The University of Mississippi Children's Justice Center Medical doctor who actually conducted a Urinallysis that revealed elevated glucose in the alleged "victim's" urine; a factor More likely the Cause of the alleged "victim's" bedwetting "issue, considering the prior extended history of episodes (before meeting me) and family history positive for diabetes. This witness is Material to the testimony of the examination.

(11) Rebecca Mansell-The University of Mississippi Children's Justice Center Legal Advisor who received and forwarded the subpoena duces tecum

continuation of: GROUNDTWO(a) page No.13 On May 8, 2013. Ms. Duke reported the findings of her investigation to Juvenile Court Magistrate, David Terguson, and advised the Court as to the following: the tollowing:

a) having contacted Melvia the contact information on file with the Court)

b) having determined My legally changed place of residence was a suitable domestic environment for My son

c) having gotten the alleged victims Mother's contact number from Me (Not the Bartlett Police Department or the Tennessee Department of Unildren's Services; Kandis Saulsberry and Barbara Deans). Ms. Duke contacted and interviewed the alleged victim's Mother, who lied about knowing My whereabouts (text messages confirmed her visits to My changed residence and continued communication) and about having any communication with My son's Mother (confirmed by an interview of My son's Mother) SON's Mother) Son's Mother)

a) having interviewed My son's Mother (Tiffany Richardson), who identified the government official who initially contacted her and advised the filing of the petition against Me. Although I cannot remember the Names of the individual(s) identified on the March 28, 2013 and May 8, 2013 Juvenile Court Record, Ms. Duke and my son's Mother are witnesses who, both, spoke the name(s) of the individual(s). Ms. Duke Contirmed My son's Mother Wishing death upon Me on January 8, 2012 (after I was awarded visitation) by telling Me that she wanted me to die. Ms. Duke also confirmed ongoing (recent) communications; between My son's Mother and the alleged "victim's" mother; a confirmation that Ms. Duke had been fied to during the interviewed the Bartlett folice Department investigating contacted and interviewed the Bartlett folice Department investigating detective (Rebeka Anderson), who advised Ms. Duke investigating detective (Rebeka Anderson), who advised Ms. Duke that an "investigation was in progress" and that "charges Mayor May Not be tiled, based on the outcome of the investigation," Making absolutely No Mention of listing me as a fugitive

(sixty-plus (coa)) days PRIOR) in a request for an out-of-custody indictment, having made contact with-me on April 24, 2013 (at the City of Bartlett Municipal Court) or Needing/Wanting to interview me, having already concluded any "investigation" and commenced prosecution by way of indictment (as per instruction of Assistant District Attorney Wallace) on February 28, 2013, or identifying the State's CPIT members (Assistant District Attorneys Wallace and Fratesi, Kandis Saulsberry, the Child Advocacy Center, and/or any others) to assist Ms. Duke in further investigating. Thus, with deliberate and purposeful intent, Detective Anderson lied to the ovardian ad litem regarding every aspect of the false allegations. quardian ad litem regarding every aspect of the false allegations and false prosecution enacted upon me by the State.

Having acted in the full ness of her duties as quardian ad litem, Ms. Duke gave the Juvenile Court an unwavering report in My favor; speaking at length about her suspicions of a conspiracy between My son's Mother and the alleged "victims" Mother to cause Malicious harm to Me. Judge Ferguson, on the Court record, agreed with Ms. Duke's Me. Judge terguson, on the Lourt record, agreed with Ms. Duke report to the Court and dismissed the petitition against Me. Ms. Duke is an exceptionally Material witness to the professional ethical and Moral turpitude of the State and its "investigators" as well as the alleged "victims" Mother. In a concerted, deliberate, and wanton ethort to rob Me of My son, NO PARTIES having instigated initiated the petition tiled in Juvenile Court on March 21, 2013 came forward in support of it. Moreover, when interviewed by Court appointed quardian ad litem/investigator, the State's witnesses (who, later testified at My trial in 2018), openly lied (as can be proven with official records. Although the petition to suspend and/or terminate My parental custody rights was dismissed. My son has been kept from me since January of 2013 (before his 1/6/13, second birthday). Therefore, the government has succeeded in destroying My parental Therefore, the government has succeeded in destroying my parental Custody, the bond between father and son, and the psyche of My son

(himself) by having been robbed of his biological father due to a prosecution

that was Malicious from its very beginning.
(14) David Ferguson-The Juvenile Court of Memphis and Shelby County, Tennessee Magistrate who presided over and ruled upon Docket No. Z0858 (in My favor) having heard ALL reports levidence presented to the Court between April 4,2013 and May 8,2013 by Barbara Deans (Attorney for the Tennessee Department of Children's Services) and Johnna I. Duke (Guardian Ad Litem) at the conclusion of an intensive investigation prescribed by the Court. This Magistrate is a Material witness to the State's false portrayal of Me being a fugitive at large with invalid contact information; My presence being confirmed upon ALL instructions notifications given by the Court (verbal and via Mail). This magistrate has material Knowledge of the testimony of My son's mother and the reports made to the Court by Barbara Deans and Johnna I. Duke.

ALL of this evidence is Material to Judge David Terguson's Ultimate ruling on the petition initiated by My son's Mother and facilitated by the State; making Judge Erroson a material witness to the facts levidence within Making Judge Terguson a Material witness to the facts levidence Within these reports. Moreover, Judge Ferguson's on the record agreement with Miss Duke (on May 8, 2013) of there being evidence of concerted Malice between My son's Mother and the alleged "victim's" Mother to cause me

harm and injury is profoundly Material.
(15) Tiffany Alys Richardson-The Mother of My son, who wished death upon Me after the Juvenile Court awarded me custodial visitation and advised the Court that she was contacted by a government official who advised her:

a) I was an immediate threat to our son

b) I had been indicted for sexual abuse of a child

C.) it was in the best interests and safety of our son that she immediately travel from Cantonment, Florida to Memphis, Tennessee to file a petition in Juvenile Court to suspend and for terminate my parental custody rights

Ms. Richardson has material knowledge as to identifying the government official(s) who contacted her; giving talse information.

Furthermore, Ms. Richardson has Material Knowledge regarding the Nature of her ongoing conversations with the alleged "victims" Mother; conversations that documents Not subject to disclosure (used against me during trial) show unquestionable proof of the alleged "victims" Mother admittedly having secretly initiated the reself) as early as August-September of 2012. Wheras, Ms. Richardson acknowledged the ongoing conversations communications with the alleged "victims" Mother in her interview with Guardian Ad Litem Johnna Duke, the alleged "victims" mother flagrantly lied to the Guardian Ad Litem about having had "victims" mother flagrantly lied to the Guardian Ad Litem about having had the initiator of said conversations. Upon disclosure of these facts and other evidence gathered during Ms. Duke's investigation, both she and Judge David Ferguson determined that the conversations between the two(2) worken were Malticious in Nature and undoubtedly meant to cause me harm. Thus, having admitted ongoing malicious conversations communications with my accuser(s), which were initiated by my accuser(s), makes the origin and nature of these malicious conversations material to my detense/criminal case.

NOTE: In an Amended and Supplemental Motion for Judgment of Acquittal or, in the alternative, Motion for New Trial, trial counsel (Ralph Tolve Gibson) admitted to surrendering the seven hundred sixty-two (To) page text Message thread to surrendering the seven hundred sixty-two (762) page text Message thread that displays the alleged victims mother's admission of having secretly having initiated communications with Ms. Richardson for Malicious purposes).

Although this text Message thread (detailing every text and picture Message exchange between Muself and the alleged victims mother from April of 2012. through June of 2013) was Material Not subject to disclosure, it was given to Mr. Gibson in attorney-client confidentiality for the sole purpose of the thread of Messages exchanged on December 1, 2012. (will discuss later)

(b) Assistant District Attorney Wallace.—The Shelby County Assistant District
Attorney General identified as a Member of the CPIT as early as February 14, 2013

in the Tennessee Department of Children's Services Case Recording Summary

(Case ID \* 48450364) prepared Child Protective Services Investigator,

Kandis Saulsberry. According to Ms. Saulsberry's report/summary, it was ADA Wallace who instructed advised Detective Anderson to begin prosecution against Me by way of indictment on February 28, 2013. Ultimately, ALL facts and evidence indicate this Malicious prosecution was authorized despite:

a) a series of changing and conflicting statements made by the alleged victim between January 1, 2013 and February 1, 2013 during various interviews
b) having irretutable proof and possession of both, the Greenwood LeFlore Children's Clinic's talse report of the alleged victim's hymen being broken until tact. The unell as the University of Mississics of Children's Justice Not in tact "as well as the University of Mississippi Children's Justice Center's report, which disproves any such injury

C) having irrefutable proof and possession of both, a false official report of the alleged victim having contracted gonorrhea (a report made by fellow CPIT co-member, Kandis Saulsberry), while also possessing the actual lab results that, without question, clearly confirm
NO GONORRHEA, was recovered from the alleged "victim's genital culture
d) having HANDS ON possession of the Forensic Examiner's reports

records, which clearly report:

1.) Detective Anderson advising the Forensic Examiner the Shelby County District Attorney's Office and the Memphis Child Advocacy Center were both aware of the out-of-state lout-of-jurisdiction examination(s), while she (the lead detective and CPIT co-

Member) was unaware; having 'no understanding 'as to why
the alleged 'victim' was being seen in Mississippi
2) the alleged 'victim' having a tamily history positive for
Schizophrenia, which is hereditary to her Mother (whom evidence unequivocally proves has Methodically and purposely lied in every simple interview documented recorded)

3) Detective Anderson having Made repeated attempts to unethically and or unlawfully dissuade her, (the Forensic Examiner) from, both, examining AND interviewing the alleged victim, recorded as specifically stating. I need her ready for court as the reason the Forensic Examination need not be conducted.

Based upon official documents/reports/evidence within the discovery and already in the direct possession of the CPIT during its review, it is resoundingly clear that Assistant District Attorney Wallace has unquestionable Material Knowledge of the aforementioned facts as well as the decision to

begin the Malicious prosecution against me by way of an out-of-custody indictment; purposely denying me the Constitutional right to Due Process of Law and the right to having a Preliminary Hearing.

(17) Assistant District Attorney General, Terre Fratesi - The Shelby County Assistant District Attorney General who was the lead prosecutor on my case in Shelby County Criminal Court Division 10 from the day of my Arrainment (August 8, 2013) until some time after having personally cancelled my first Court ordered trial date (November 17, 2014). Like Assistant District Attorney Wallace, Ms. Fratesi is identified as a Member of the CPIT and had direct possession of the same official documents/reports/evidence and Material Knowledge of the same facts for at least five (5) Months PRIOR to a Grand Jury returning a "true bill" indictment. However, while being lead prosecutor for More than fifteen (15) months, Ms. Fratesi deliberately withheld such facts from the Criminal Court; intentionally neglecting the duty of candor and purposely continuing a Malicious prosecution. This fact is corroborated by:

(i) Ms. Fratesis blatant refusal to EVER introduce ANY of the official

documents/reports/evidence, within the State's direct possession, to the court record and provide Material evidence to support an appropriate

remedy for the wrongful denial of my right to having a Areliminary Hearing.

(2) Ms. Fratesi, on the record, deceitfully advising the Court that the State was "still waiting for" the Tennessee Department of Children's Services to "release" its official records reports even after Judge James C. Beasley's February 24, 2014 Order Scheduling Case for Trial, while boasting about having given open door access to the State's files ON October 9, 2013. To HAVE 'NOT been in possession of official government documents/reports/evidence that are irretutably Material to the State's prosecution against Me, for a period of time between

August 8,2013 and February 24,2014 (one hundred ninety-eight (198) days), it is unquestionably clear that the State's October 9,2013 open door access was an open display of an incomplete/frivolous discovery or resounding proof that Ms. Fratesi had been intentionally deceiving the Court and purposely withholding the official documents/reports, while Making repeated attempts to initiate plea negotiations. In either case, Ms. Fratesi (a confirmed member of the State's CPII) acted with absolute Wanton disregard of truth, candor, and fairness. Moreover, official documents records reports were withheld by Ms. Fratesi and were not released to Me until after she cancelled my trial and after she was otticially replaced as lead prosecutor. In fact, records, requested to Mr. Abeles in attorney-client confidentiality, in late August of 2013, were intercepted by the State, promised to the Court at NUMEROUS report dates (before and after the Order Setting the Case for Irial) but were not release until March of 2015 (nineteen (19) months later); only to me and Not to the Court. Furthermore, My receipt of the records was due only to having submitted Multiple formal complaints to the Board of Professional responsibility regarding two(2) attorneys. To remove all doubt concerning Ms. Fratesi's role in an intentionally Malicious prosecution, the records from both Medical facilities in Mississippi were included with the discovery I received in late August of 2013 (in limited portions). Nevertheless, there were enough material facts present in the separate Medical records that were, also, Material facts that should have presented to the Court (and Grand Jury) in a duty of candor on part of the State. For example, despite any additionally released evidence to the initial copy of the discovery given to Me, two @ bond reduction hearings were held with two (2) completely different outcomes. Based on the lack of facts presented at an October 1, 2013 hearing, Judge Beasley ruled that due to the Nature of the charges, the one hundred fifty thousand dollar (\*150,000°) bond is fair. After submitting a formal complaint to the Board of Professional Kesponsibility, regarding My detense counsel's tailure to address ANY

while we continued living together for approximately twelve (12) weeks after going to the Bartlett Police Department on January 9, 2013. Furthermore, Mr. Cox is a Material and eye witness to a clear Motivating factor for the alleged "victims" Mother's overall untruthfulness, slander, and false allegations to every entity who has interviewed her; a secret relationship she had with a third man (aside from her husband and myself), to whom she was ALREADY familiar and comfortable enough to not only "date", but Move in as soon as she had me out of the way. These are material facts given/reported by an eye witness to two(2) separate private investigators, who Judge Beasley deemed a "material witness" (after reviewing statements from other witnesses he deemed material), who was never called upon, despite his willingness to cooperate and testify on my behalf regarding his material Knowledge to these facts.

(23) Expert witnesses) for the Detense—this includes an expert qualified to

qive testimony regarding:

a.) policies and procedures for reporting sexual abuse in the state of

b.) policies and procedures for reporting sexual abuse in the state of Mississippi

C.) Symptoms and characteristics of Schizophrenia
d.) Torensic interviews and the signs of witness coaching
Although lengthy, it is paramount to directly connect the denial of my
Constitutional right to the guarantees of the Sixth Amendment with the
sheer and overwhelming number of facts listed in this ground.

Who is licensed in Tennessee. The alleged "victims" Medical physical forensic examinations were subject to the laws of Mississippi and, in the case of the Forensic Examination, had repeated attempts made by the investigating detective to be thwarted. This fact is corroborated by the Forensic Examiner's (Carrie Regan Doleac official report to of the conversations. Ms. Doleac reports records the investigative detective (Rebeka Anderson) having stated that on for before) January 25, 2013 (the date of the Memphis Child Advocacy Center Forensic Tuterview) the Shelby County District Attorney General's 1981 counter Forensic Interview), the Shelby County District Attorney General's Office was already aware of the Forensic Examination, scheduled for February 1,2013 in Jackson, Mississippi. Likewise, the Memphis Child Advocacy Center was already aware of the Forensic Examination. This examination was scheduled as a direct result of the Greenwood LeFlore Children's Clinic's "report tiled with DHS of Mississippi, referred to Justice Court in Jackson, Mississippi with Dr. Harriet Hampton" (filed by CarlyJo Meredith, CFNP).... "Life Help notified of patient. DHS will contact for referral." Thereafter, a referral was sent to the University of Mississippi Children's Justice Center in Jackson, Mississippi by Courtney Lebo. This is the referral that Ms. Doleac repeatedly advised Detective Anderson "had to be honored" during the detective's repeated attempts to dissuade Ms. Doleac from performing her lawful duties. Clearly, these facilities, agencies, and individuals are subject to the laws Within the jurisdiction of the state of Mississippi and acted within the scope of those laws. Moreover, the alleged "victim" was initially examined at the Greenwood LeFlore Children's Clinic on January 22, 2013 Ithirteen (i3) days after Making a report to Bartlett Police and three Bidays before the Memphis Child Advocacy Center Forensic Interview and the Tennessee Department of Children's Services Child Protective Services Investigator (Kandis Saulsberry) reported having spoken to staff at the Medical facility on the same date (Case Recording Summary Case ID: 48450364 Recording ID: 21040946). Based on Ms. Doleac's logged report of her January 25, 2013 conversation with Detective Anderson, Ms. Saulsberry's own report on January 22, 2013, and the Known Members of the CPIT.

police in Tennessee, and drastically inconsistent with regard to

a third statement (given only three(3) days after the second statement) to a Child Advocacy Center in Tennessee. Witnesses to the alleged victims first statement (on January 9, 2013) were never identified and were not called to testify to their knowledge and recollection of their report(s). Witnesses to the alleged victims second statement (on January 22, 2013) were not called to testify to their knowledge and recollection of their second statement (on January 22, 2013) report(s), because they are not subject to the law(s) of the jurisdiction of Tennessee. Witnesses to the alleged "victims" third statement (on January 25, 2013) testified to their knowledge and recollection of their report(s). at the September of 2018 trial. However, the alleged victims" under path testimony (on July 31, 2014), regarding the admissibility of her third statement, was TOTALLY contrary to the documented statement itself. Despite repeated requests and ALL attorney's duty of candor, this testimony was deliberately withheld from the trial court and jury

(a) the investigative detective made repeated attempts to unethically and/or unlawfully dissuade the forensic Examiner from performing duties prescribed by the state of Mississippi, while approving the talse reports of another Mississippi facility; both facilities having no jurisdiction for being involved in My case. Moreover, this detective deliberately failed to act in accordance with lennessee law(s) regarding the IMMEDIATE LOCAL action(s) to be taken upon a report of

syspected child sexual abuse

T) the State purposely devied me the right to having a freliminary hearing and a remedy to this unlawful devial by knowingly and Maliciously creating a proven talse portrayal of me being a fugitive at large; to obtain an injust/prejudicial tactical advantage in proceeding with a Malicious prosecution. and purposely avoid under oath testimony from any of its witheress as a fact of all and under oath testimony. from any of its witnesses prior to a trial 8) My accusers (specifically the alleged "victim" and her mother) have a

documented family history of schizophrenia that ALL attorneys

have intentionally withheld from the Court record throughout ALL
stages of My case, while having full awareness. Both accusers have
openly stated proven lies in every single documented interview
given, including an interview with an investigator appointed by the
Jovenile Court; who was avoided and deceived by the CPIT, although
having become involved as an investigator as a direct result of
actions recommended by the State.

Ultimately, it is clear that thoraseparate states were involved in the prosecution
of My case; Tennessee and Mississippi. I have never been advised regarding the
laws of Mississippi as related to My case; jurisdiction, talse reports of injury,
interference with lobstruction of Torensic Examination, etc. Neither has the state
of Mississippi ever taken any legal action against the parties involved in,
irrefutably, committing these documented acts. Moreover, neither has the
state of Tennessee taken any action regarding these and other unequivocal
facts that identify such acts as criminal by its own laws. My trial court
facts that identify such acts as criminal by its own laws. My trial court
facts that identify such acts as criminal by its own laws. My trial court
and jury were not allowed to hear testimony from any
to Carrie Regan Doleac, FNP. Nor were they allowed to hear testimony from any
Medical physician professional holding a license, and knowledgable at the
laws in Tennessee; giving me NO protection of the laws of the jurisdictions
of either state. and purposely denying Constitutional Fourteenth Amendment
right. right.

his ground to the trial courts, board of Professional Responsibility, Board of C Intities. My only reasonable advice has been to seek federal intervention N, both, perpetrating the violations and sabotaging possible future remedia Direct Appeal of Ground Three:	N.Th	e State	has been instru-
(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue?  (2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why:  \[ \begin{align*} \text{NO COUNSEL}, \\ \text{Advised on October 18, 2019 that Counsel was appointed} \end{align*}		Yes for c	inect appeal the Court
Post-Conviction Proceedings:			
(1) Did you raise this issue through a post-conviction motion or petition for habeas co	rpus i	in a state	trial court?
☐ Yes ☑ No			
(2) If your answer to Question (d)(1) is "Yes," state:			1/6
Type of motion or petition:			Λ¥,
Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed:	<del></del>		AV
Docket or case number (if you know):			A
Date of the court's decision:		1	AV
Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):			<b>N</b>
(3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion or petition?		Yes	Ø No
(4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion or petition?	0	Yes	Ø No
(5) If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," did you raise this issue in the appeal?	o	Yes	D No
(6) If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," state:			
Name and location of the court where the appeal was filed:	N	A	
Docket or case number (if you know):	N	A	
Date of the court's decision:	N	A	
Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):	N	A	

	(7) If your answer to Question (d)(4) or Question (d)(5) is "No," explain why you did not raise this issue:
(e)	Other Remedies: Describe any other procedures (such as habeas corpus, administrative remedies, etc.) that you
	have used to exhaust your state remedies on Ground Three:
GROU	UND FOUR: Official Misconduct and Official Oppression as perpetrated by the Shelby County government
facthe	wanton purposes of gaining tactical advantage, Causing underprejudice, and obtaining an unlawful conviction
	oporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.):
4	e see attached additional pages) - From the genesis of the false allegations made against me, the
	LCOUNTY GOVERNMENT has been extreme in the Nature and Number of unethical and or unlawful
	ces used to intentionally deprive Me of life, liberty, and property without due process of law.
	addition to the Multitude of violations butlined in the previous grounds that were committed by
	allowed by the State, there purely malicious acts that were committed that have undeniably
	ad Malicious and irreparable injuries. These are deliberate manton acts Meant to purposely
create	an extreme and undue prejudice against me, while the State Knowingly proceeded to Manutacture
	ou did not exhaust your state remedies on Ground Four, explain why:
	that has (and continues to) sabotage all potential/possible remedies where state courts would
	It a review. The Constitutional rights violations pasonity the corruption within the Sheloy County
GOVEL OF	ument. The violations committed by the State ,throughout My seven(7) year case have been so ant that federal inspection/investigation review is absolutely Necessary in the interest of justice
Liadi	
(c)	Direct Appeal of Ground Four:
	(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue? Yes No
	(2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why: As of today's date lapproximately
	sixteen (16) days since writing ground one) I still have not received ANY
	communication from My Court Appointed Appellate Counsel, Monica Timmerman
(d)	Post-Conviction Proceedings:
	(1) Did you raise this issue through a post-conviction motion or petition for habeas corpus in a state trial court?
	☐ Yes ☐ No
	(2) If your answer to Question (d)(1) is "Yes," state:
	Type of motion or petition:

a wrongful conviction that is unlawful, unjust, and unfair. While the Constitution of the United States prohibits the government of any state from unfairly causing such deprivation, the facts in my case show that the government of Shelby County, Tennessee actively and very flagrantly violated these prohibitions by purposely abusing various resources at its disposal to create and develop undeniable prejudice against me pre-trial; effectively tainting the presumption of innocent until proven guilty. These resources included law enforcement agencies, the media, and the overwhelming power of influence and perception. Prior to my wrongfull arrest (in 2013) and after my pre-trial release (in 2015), the State falsely portrayed me as being a wanted fugitive; causing catastrophic nardships (material, financial, mental, emotional, etc.), terminations of gainful employment evictions/home lessness. and the destruction of relationof gainful employment, evictions/homelessness, and the destruction of relationships (due to the obvious stigma attached to the publicized false portrayals). This includes a Multitude of social, academic, professional, and personal relationships. In fact, it would be profoundly unsound and unrealistic to suggest that the government's purposely Malicious exploitation of its resources in stigmatizing me would result in ANY outcome, other than a stigmatic impression left upon all persons witnessing; creating lengineering an undeniable bias and prejudice against me. For example:

On March 14, 2016, I submitted a letter to the WMC Channel 5 News Digital Content Staff, requesting to be removed from a continued public post on its website titled, "SLIDESHOW: Winter 2016's Most Wanted, which listed me as a wanted fugitive from justice for Rape of a Child, since January 20, 2016. Please be advised: I had been given a Court ordered report date of January 15,2016, which I accidentally confused with another date. Upon realizing my error, I immediately contacted the Court and a local attorney, prior to the close of business on January 15,2016, and was placed on the February 3,2016 docket to resolve the Matter of My accidental failure to appear. On February 3,2016, the local attorney and I were present before the Court, explained the accidental confusion with a separate date, and Judge James C. Beasley recalled the bench warrant issued for my failure to appear (warrant #16001830). I was

Still searching for a Criminal defense attorney to represent My case and was sternly advised by the Court that My trial was being set for a date in September of 2016 (seven(7) Months later) and, with or without privately hired counsel, trial would be taking place with No exception(s). Approximately five(5) hours after leaving the Court on February 3,2016. I reported for duty at My place of employment (Alpha Omega Veterans Services). Upon My arrival, staff Members advised me that Fugitive Warrants officers had recently been there (in search of Me) and had gove to the Alpha Umega Veterans Service Shelter where I resided and had left only Moments leterans service shelter where I resided and had lett only mumous earlier. I immediately contacted the Sheriff's Department, advised the officer of having resolved the accidental failure to appear, and gave My exact location. Within an hour, Fugitive Warrants officers returned to My place of employment, after unlawfully persuading the Veterans Shelter's Property Manager (John) to allow an illegal search of My quarters. The officers presented no warrant for My arrest and, after Making physical contact with Me, simply left. Moreover, No warrant for My arrest was presented to Alpha Omega Veterans Services (employer or land lord). Instead, both were left with computer printouts, stating that I was wanted for child rape (and a fugitive from justice) since January 20,2016 (approximately two(2) weeks prior); having purposely been given the impression that a child had been sexually assaulted on lat one of the organization's properties.

I was given separation notice on February 5,2016 as a result.

I contacted the Shelby County Speriff's Department Fugitive Warrants Division and received continuation that the bench warrant had indeed, been recalled. However, for appoximately ten(10) days my photo remained on the Memphis Most Wanted website, before it was removed. On February 25, 2016 (while unemployed and homeless). I was contracted by an associate, having no prior knowledge of my legal situation, who advised me that I was wanted by law enforcement. A check of the Shelby County Sheriff's Office website showed there was no active warrant what soever. Upon asking My associate where they'd received such false information, I was sent

the direct URL to the WMC Channel 5 News SLIDESHOW: Winter 2016's Most Wanted. Ulike numerous individuals listed on the slideshow as being wanted for failure to appear, I was listed as being wanted for 'rape of a child' until the end of March of 2016 (approximately two (2) Months after warrant #16001830 had been recalled. In a response from Channel 5 News staff, I was advised that updates to its Most Wanted slideshow are based on weekly updates received from the Shelby County government. Therefore, for eight(8) weeks, the government failed to provide any update regarding Judge Beasley's recall of the failure to appear warrant and were it not for my own correspondence with the News channel, my talse portrayal as a fugitive on this website would have been indefinite; a plan of the Shelby County government, which is corroborated by the facts stated by Channel 5 News staff. Nevertheless, the injuries sustained and prejudice I experienced are unspeakable and underliable. Furthermore, it is overwhelmingly clear that the government's infliction of these injuries was Malicious and deliberate.

A second example of the State's malicious abuse of law enforcement occurred in June of 2016. I had become employed by a company called TephSeal; an independent detailing contractor for AutoNation Ford. On an off day, I received a call from My supervisor (Garrett), who advised me that Tugitive Warrants officers from the Shelby County Sheriff's office had been to the Auto Dealership with a fugitive warrant for My arrest. A check on the Shelby County Sheriff's Office website revealed there, indeed, was an active warrant for My arrest. My previous/last court appearance was on February 3, 2016, when Judge Dealey (himself) recalled warrant # 16001830 and scheduled a trial date for September of 2016. There were no report dates in between. The general manager of AutoNation Ford contacted the TephSeal District Manager (Limmy) and advised that I was to be immediately barred/banned from ALL AutoNation properties. Consequently, I was also immediately terminated by TephSeal.

Under extreme duress caused by this act of the povernment, I was left with no choice, except hiring an attorney with the financial resources immediately available to Me; Not enough to hire an expert in sex offense cases, as I was

and the assassination of any remedy on direct appeal. In fact, ALL twenty-one(21) of Mr. Gibson's "arguments" in his Motion for a New Trial were denied; Making

continuation of : GROUND FOUR(a) the **entire Motion** (itself) frivolous. Although I advised the trial court in **deta**il with regard to ALL violations against Me, I was forced to continue with Mr. Gibson as counsel through the Motion for a New Irial Hearing on October 11,2019, which had been rescheduled by the Court a total of three(3) times after being initally scheduled on November 30,2018. My sentencing hearing had been scheduled a total of three (3) times following trial The Malicious acts committed against me by the Shelby County government are undeniable and the injuries I've systained are undeniably permanent. The acts of malice were committed deliberately and with wanton disregard of truth, justice, and the Constitution. This fact is corroborated by the Shelby County government's very own proven history and nationally reported reputation of Misconduct, withholding evidence, and corruption. For these reasons, I earnestly believe that tederal intervention/intercession is My only true hope 13.

(a)	Have all grounds for relief that you have raised in this petition been presented to the highest state court
	having jurisdiction?    Yes  No
	If your answer is "No," state which grounds have not been so presented and give your reason(s) for not
	presenting them: Grounds ONE through tour have Not been presented because
	circumstances exist that render any State corrective process in effective
	to protect My rights.
(b)	Is there any ground in this petition that has not been presented in some state or federal court? If so, which
	ground or grounds have not been presented, and state your reasons for not presenting them:
	Grounds One through tour have not been presented, because circumstances
	exist that render any State corrective process in effective to protect My
	rights
Have y	ou previously filed any type of petition, application, or motion in a federal court regarding the conviction
that you	u challenge in this petition?
If "Yes	s," state the name and location of the court, the docket or case number, the type of proceeding, the issues
raised,	the date of the court's decision, and the result for each petition, application, or motion filed. Attach a copy
of any	court opinion or order, if available.
	•
***	
Do you	have any petition or appeal now pending (filed and not decided yet) in any court, either state or federal, for
the jud	gment you are challenging?
If "Yes	s," state the name and location of the court, the docket or case number, the type of proceeding, and the issues
raised.	N/A

Please answer these additional questions about the petition you are filing:

16.

	re challenging:	
(a) At prelimina	ary hearing: I did Not receive a prelimina	ry hearing, due to the State talsely
portraving!	Me as a fugitive during its investigation and i	
(b) At arraignm	J 14 W 11) - 1/1 1/1 00	PR#017225
201 Pools	ir Avenue Memohis, Tennessee 38103-1	945
(c) At trial:	Ralph T. Gibson - BPR#014861	
22 N.Fa	out Street Suite 650 Memohis Tennes	see 38103-2185
(d) At sentencir	OIL TAL ONHALLOU	
22 N. Fro	nt Street Suite 650 Memohis, Tennessee	238103-2185
(e) On appeal:	I was appointed Monica Timmerman	A 1
todavis da	TT ( 11 11	icated with her or received her contact into
(f) In any post-	conviction proceeding:	
		U
(g) On appeal for	rom any ruling against you in a post-conviction proceed	ling: NA due to being unknown
challenging?	Yes 🗇 No	
challenging?	Yes LI No	
(a) If so give n	ame and location of court that imposed the other senten	ce you will serve in the future:
(a) If so, give n	ame and location of court that imposed the other sentence	11 ( )
(a) If so, give n. Shelby Cour	thy Criminal Court, Division 9, The Floor Crimi	ce you will serve in the future:
Shelby Cour 201 Popla	ty Criminal Court, Division 9, 7th Floor Crimi ar Avenue Memphis, Tennessee 3,8103	mal Justice Complex
Shelby Cour 201 Pople (b) Give the dat	thy Criminal Court, Division 9, The Floor Criminal Avenue Memphis, Tennessee 38103  e the other sentence was imposed:  November	30,2018
Shelby Coun 201 Polo (b) Give the dat (c) Give the len	thy Criminal Court, Division 9, The Floor Criminal Avenue Memphis, Tennessee 38103  e the other sentence was imposed:  gth of the other sentence:  Lifetime registry	30, 2018 3s a Violent sex offender
She by Coun 201 Pole (b) Give the dat (c) Give the len (d) Have you fi	thy Criminal Court, Division 9. The Floor Criminal Avenue Memphis, Tennessee 38103  the the other sentence was imposed:  Solution that challenges the control of the other sentence:  Lifetime registry led, or do you plan to file, any petition that challenges the control of the challenges the	30, 2018 3s a Violent sex offender
She by Court  201 Pole  (b) Give the dat  (c) Give the len  (d) Have you fit  future?	thy Criminal Court, Division 9, The Floor Criminal Avenue Memphis, Tennessee 38103  the the other sentence was imposed:  Solution that challenges the court of the other sentence:  Litetime registry  Yes  No	30, 2018  38 à Violent Sex offender he judgment or sentence to be served in the
Sheloy County 201 Pools (b) Give the date (c) Give the len (d) Have you fit future?	thy Criminal Court, Division 9, The Floor Criminal Avenue Memoris, Tennessee 38103  the the other sentence was imposed:  Solution that challenges the contract of the other sentence:  Yes  No  OF PETITION: If your judgment of conviction became	30, 2018  30 a Violent Sex offender  the judgment or sentence to be served in the  final over one year ago, you must explain
Shelby four 201 Police (b) Give the date (c) Give the lend (d) Have you fit future? TIMELINESS	thy Criminal Court, Division 9, The Floor Criminal Avenue Memphis, Tennessee 38103  the the other sentence was imposed:  Solution that challenges the court of the other sentence:  Litetime registry  Yes  No	30, 2018  30 a Violent Sex offender  the judgment or sentence to be served in the  final over one year ago, you must explain

Give the name and address, if you know, of each attorney who represented you in the following stages of the

***	

AO 241 (Rev. 09/17)

- (1) A one-year period of limitation shall apply to an application for a writ of habeas corpus by a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court. The limitation period shall run from the latest of -
  - (A) the date on which the judgment became final by the conclusion of direct review or the expiration of the time for seeking such review;
  - (B) the date on which the impediment to filing an application created by State action in violation of the Constitution or laws of the United States is removed, if the applicant was prevented from filing by such state action;
  - (C) the date on which the constitutional right asserted was initially recognized by the Supreme Court, if the right has been newly recognized by the Supreme Court and made retroactively applicable to cases on collateral review; or
  - (D) the date on which the factual predicate of the claim or claims presented could have been discovered through the exercise of due diligence.

<sup>\*</sup> The Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996 ("AEDPA") as contained in 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d) provides in part that:

(2)	The time during which a properly filed application for State post-conviction or other collateral review with respect to the pertinent judgment or claim is pending shall not be counted toward any period of limitation under this subsection.
Therefore, petition	oner asks that the Court grant the following relief: Investigate and examine the Constitutions
Violation COM	mitted by the State of Tennessee in My criminal case, then grant the appropriate
remedy to Mu	wrongful conviction (vacate or set aside)
or any other relie	f to which petitioner may be entitled.
:	Signature of Attorney (if any)
I declare (or certi	fy, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this Petition for
Writ of Habeas C	forpus was placed in the prison mailing system on 01/10/20 (month, date, year).
Executed (signed	on January 10, 2020 (date).
	Signature of Petitioner
If the person sign	ing is not petitioner, state relationship to petitioner and explain why petitioner is not signing this petition.
F	5 5 T